

Chapter 23

Path integrals: I said to him, ‘You’re crazy’

23.1

For the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}x\hat{\mathcal{A}}x + bx$$

assuming x is an implicit function of t , the equations of motion yield

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} &= 0 \\ \hat{\mathcal{A}}x + b &= 0 \\ x &= -\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}b\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this in, the Lagrangian evaluated along the equation of motion is given by

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\text{EOM}} &= \frac{1}{2}(-\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}b)\hat{\mathcal{A}}(-\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}b) + b(-\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}b\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}b - b\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}b\end{aligned}$$

$$L_{\text{EOM}} = -b\frac{1}{2\hat{\mathcal{A}}}b$$

Since this is the expression which appears in the evaluation of the Gaussian integral

$$\int \mathcal{D}[x] \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}x\hat{\mathcal{A}}x + bx\right) = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\det \hat{\mathcal{A}}}} \exp\left(-ib\frac{1}{2\hat{\mathcal{A}}}b\right)$$

we see that the exponential is just the classical action evaluated along the classical equation of motion

$$\int \mathcal{D}[x] \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}x\hat{\mathcal{A}}x + bx\right) = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\det \hat{\mathcal{A}}}} \exp\left(iS_{\text{EOM}}\right)$$

23.2

The second moment of the Gaussian distribution

$$\mu_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2}$$

can be solved for using the following trick. Since the zeroth moment of the inhomogeneous Gaussian distribution is given by

$$\mu_{0,b} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2+bx} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{a}} e^{b^2/2a}$$

we can write the homogeneous second moment as

$$\mu_2 = \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2} (\mu_{0,b}) \right|_{b=0}$$

Using the result of the zeroth moment, we find

$$\mu_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{a}} \frac{1}{a}$$

Denoting the n -th normalized moment as $\langle x^n \rangle$

$$\langle x^n \rangle = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2}}$$

we can work out the first two non-zero moments as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^2 \rangle &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{a}{2\pi}} \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2} (\mu_{0,b}) \right|_{b=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^4 \rangle &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x^4 e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{a}{2\pi}} \left. \frac{\partial^4}{\partial b^4} (\mu_{0,b}) \right|_{b=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle x^4 \rangle = \frac{3}{a^2}$$

In order to really see the pattern, we can go one step further and find that $\langle x^6 \rangle = 15/a^3$, at which point we can extrapolate and write

$$\langle x^n \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{(n-1)!!}{a^{n/2}} & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

which can be checked and is indeed correct. This can be understood diagrammatically by associating each factor of $1/a$ with a line which connects two factors of x . For $\langle x^2 \rangle$, there are only two factors of x , and thus only one way to connect them

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \begin{array}{c} x \text{ --- } x \end{array}$$

As for $\langle x^4 \rangle$, there are three ways to combine four factors of x into pairs

$$\langle x^4 \rangle = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} x \text{ --- } x \\ x \text{ --- } x \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} x \text{ --- } x \\ x \text{ --- } x \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} x \text{ --- } x \\ x \text{ --- } x \end{array} \end{array}$$

Therefore, for n even we have

$$\langle x^n \rangle = \sum_{\text{All graphs}} \left(n \text{ points connected into pairs} \right)$$

Upgrading to the N -dimensional Gaussian integral

$$\mathcal{K} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \dots dx_N e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^N}{\det \mathbf{A}}} e^{\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}}$$

we can calculate moments using the same trick. For $\langle x_i x_j \rangle$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_i x_j \rangle &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \dots dx_N x_i x_j e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \dots dx_N e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\det \mathbf{A}}{(2\pi)^N}} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial b_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i} \mathcal{K} \right|_{\mathbf{b}=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b_j} \left(b_i (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{ik} e^{\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}} \right)_{\mathbf{b}=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle x_i x_j \rangle = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{ij}$$

In analogy with the one dimensional case, we see that we can understand these terms diagrammatically by associating factors of \mathbf{A}^{-1} to lines connecting points labeled with factors of x_i . Using this, we can write down the expression for $\langle x_i x_j x_k x_\ell \rangle$ as

$$\langle x_i x_j x_k x_\ell \rangle = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{ij} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{k\ell} + (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{ik} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{j\ell} + (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{i\ell} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{jk}$$

which can be confirmed by doing the necessary derivatives. For the general case $\langle x_i x_j \dots x_k \rangle$, we have

$$\langle x_i x_j \dots x_k x_\ell \rangle = \sum_{\text{Wick}} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{ab} \dots (\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{cd}$$

where the indices $\{a, b, \dots, c, d\}$ represent a permutation of $\{i, j, \dots, k, \ell\}$, and a “Wick sum” is defined as a sum over all permutations of the indices.

23.3

Consider the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2(t) - \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2(t) + f(t)x(t)$$

where

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} f_0 & 0 \leq t \leq T \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

The amplitude \mathcal{A} for a particle to be in the ground state at $t = 0$ and $t = T$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{x(0)=0}^{x(T)=0} \mathcal{D}[x] \exp \left(i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt L \right)$$

Assuming the ground state corresponds to $x = 0$, we can do an integration by parts on the first term in the Lagrangian to write

$$L = \frac{1}{2} x(t) \left[m \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \omega^2 \right) \right] x(t) + f(t)x(t)$$

Defining

$$\mathcal{C} = m \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \omega^2 \right)$$

we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \int \mathcal{D}[x] \exp \left(i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left(\frac{1}{2} x(t) \mathcal{C} x(t) + f(t) x(t) \right) \right)$$

which is just an inhomogeneous Gaussian integral. Therefore, the solution is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{C}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt dt' f(t) G(t, t') f(t') \right)$$

where $G(t, t')$ is the Green’s function to \mathcal{C} . As was done in the chapter, we can rewrite this to eliminate B using the free particle propagator as

$$\mathcal{A} = \sqrt{\frac{-im\omega}{2\pi \sin \omega T}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt dt' f(t) G(t, t') f(t') \right)$$

The Green’s function can be written in frequency space as

$$\tilde{G}(\nu) = \frac{-1}{m} \frac{1}{\nu^2 - \omega^2 + i\epsilon}$$

which we can then inverse Fourier transform to write

$$G(t, t') = \frac{-1}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-i\nu(t-t')}}{\nu^2 - \omega^2 + i\epsilon}$$

Our familiarity with the propagator in this form allows us to quickly do the integral by closing the contour either above or below and obtain

$$G(t, t') = \frac{1}{2m\omega} \left(\theta(t - t') e^{-i\omega(t-t')} + \theta(t' - t) e^{i\omega(t-t')} \right)$$

Since the prefactor in the amplitude is there where we have a source or not, we’ll focus on just the exponential piece and write

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &\sim \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt dt' f(t) G(t, t') f(t') \right) \\ G(t, t') &= \frac{1}{2m\omega} \left(\theta(t - t') e^{-i\omega(t-t')} + \theta(t' - t) e^{i\omega(t-t')} \right) \end{aligned}}$$

With the Green’s function in this form, the integral in the exponential is straightforward

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt dt' f(t) G(t, t') f(t') &= \frac{f_0^2}{2m\omega} \int_0^T dt \int_0^t dt' \left(\theta(t - t') e^{-i\omega(t-t')} + \theta(t' - t) e^{i\omega(t-t')} \right) \\ &= \frac{f_0^2}{m\omega} \int_0^T dt \int_0^t dt' e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \\ &= \frac{f_0^2}{m\omega} \int_0^T dt \frac{1}{i\omega} (1 - e^{-i\omega t}) \\ &= -\frac{if_0^2}{m\omega^2} \left(T - \frac{1}{i\omega} (1 - e^{-i\omega T}) \right) \\ &= -\frac{if_0^2}{m\omega^2} \left[T + \frac{i}{\omega} \left(\cos \frac{\omega T}{2} - i \sin \frac{\omega T}{2} \right) 2i \sin \frac{\omega T}{2} \right] \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt dt' f(t) G(t, t') f(t') &= -\frac{if_0^2}{m\omega^2} \left[T - \frac{\sin \omega T}{\omega} + i \frac{2}{\omega} \sin^2 \frac{\omega T}{2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we have

$$\boxed{\mathcal{A} = \exp \left[\frac{if_0^2}{2m\omega^2} \left(T - \frac{\sin \omega T}{\omega} + i \frac{2}{\omega} \sin^2 \frac{\omega T}{2} \right) \right]}$$

The probability amplitude follows as

$$|\mathcal{A}|^2 = \exp \left(-\frac{2f_0^2}{m\omega^3} \sin^2 \frac{\omega T}{2} \right)$$

which is the same result obtained in Exercise 22.1 by expanding the S-operator. The imaginary part of the amplitude corresponds to the complex phase the particle acquires from $t = 0$ to $t = T$. Physically, this is the term which would lead to interference if we were considering a theory in which multiple particles were emitted and absorbed by the source terms.