Chapter 9

9.1

The translation operator is given by

$$\hat{U}(\mathbf{a}) = e^{-i\hat{\mathbf{p}}\cdot\mathbf{a}}$$

In this simple form, the generator of this transformation, $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$, can be expressed as the following derivative

$$\left| \hat{\mathbf{p}} = i \frac{\partial \hat{U}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} \right|_{\mathbf{a} = 0}$$

9.2

For the representations of Lorentz boosts shown below

$$D(\phi^{1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \phi^{1} & \sinh \phi^{1} & 0 & 0\\ \sinh \phi^{1} & \cosh \phi^{1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D(\phi^{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \phi^{2} & 0 & \sinh \phi^{2} & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ \sinh \phi^{2} & 0 & \cosh \phi^{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$D(\phi^{3}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \phi^{3} & 0 & 0 & \sinh \phi^{3}\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ \sinh \phi^{3} & 0 & 0 & \cosh \phi^{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

The generators of these transformations can be represented as follows

$$\left| K^{2} = -i \frac{\partial D(\phi^{2})}{\partial \phi^{2}} \right|_{\phi^{2} = 0} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boxed{K^{3} = -i\frac{\partial D(\phi^{3})}{\partial \phi^{3}}\Big|_{\phi^{3}=0} = -i\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}$$

9.3

A infinitesimal boost ϕ^j along the x^j axis can be expressed as successive boosts along the three cardinal axes. For infinitesimal boosts we have

$$\cosh \phi \approx 1$$
, $\sinh \phi \approx \phi$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(\phi^{j})^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &= \Lambda(\phi^{1})^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}\Lambda(\phi^{2})^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\Lambda(\phi^{3})^{\beta}{}_{\nu} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ \phi^{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \phi^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi^{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{3} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi^{3} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline \Lambda(\phi^{j})^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi^{1} & \phi^{2} & \phi^{3} \\ \phi^{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi^{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \phi^{3} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Rotations can be represented as

$$R(\theta^{1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \cos\theta^{1} & \sin\theta^{1}\\ 0 & 0 & -\sin\theta^{1} & \cos\theta^{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(\theta^{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta^{2} & 0 & -\sin\theta^{2}\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & \sin\theta^{2} & 0 & \cos\theta^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$R(\theta^{3}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta^{3} & \sin\theta^{3} & 0\\ 0 & -\sin\theta^{3} & \cos\theta^{3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A infinitesimal rotation θ^j about the x^j axis can be expressed as successive rotations about the three cardinal axes. For infinitesimal rotations we have

$$\cos\theta \approx 1, \quad \sin\theta \approx \theta$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(\theta^{j})^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &= \Lambda(\theta^{1})^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}\Lambda(\theta^{2})^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\Lambda(\theta^{3})^{\beta}{}_{\nu} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \theta^{1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\theta^{1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\theta^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{2} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \theta^{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta^{3} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \Lambda(\theta^{j})^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \theta^{3} & -\theta^{2} \\ 0 & -\theta^{3} & 1 & \theta^{1} \\ 0 & \theta^{2} & -\theta^{1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Using this, a general infinitesimal Lorentz transformation can be written as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Lambda} &= \mathbf{\Lambda}(\phi^{i})^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}\mathbf{\Lambda}(\theta^{j})^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi^{1} & \phi^{2} & \phi^{3} \\ \phi^{1} & 1 & \theta^{3} & -\theta^{2} \\ \phi^{2} & -\theta^{3} & 1 & \theta^{1} \\ \phi^{3} & \theta^{2} & -\theta^{1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline \mathbf{\Lambda} &= \mathbb{I} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \end{split}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi^1 & \phi^2 & \phi^3 \\ \phi^1 & 0 & \theta^3 & -\theta^2 \\ \phi^2 & -\theta^3 & 0 & \theta^1 \\ \phi^3 & \theta^2 & -\theta^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This tensor, when made doubly contravariant or covariant, is anti-symmetric

$$\begin{split} \omega^{\mu\nu} &= \omega^{\mu}{}_{\lambda}g^{\lambda\nu} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi^1 & \phi^2 & \phi^3 \\ \phi^1 & 0 & \theta^3 & -\theta^2 \\ \phi^2 & -\theta^3 & 0 & \theta^1 \\ \phi^3 & \theta^2 & -\theta^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \omega^{\mu\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\phi^1 & -\phi^2 & -\phi^3 \\ \phi^1 & 0 & -\theta^3 & \theta^2 \\ \phi^2 & \theta^3 & 0 & -\theta^1 \\ \phi^3 & -\theta^2 & \theta^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\lambda}\omega^{\lambda}_{\nu} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi^{1} & \phi^{2} & \phi^{3} \\ \phi^{1} & 0 & \theta^{3} & -\theta^{2} \\ \phi^{2} & -\theta^{3} & 0 & \theta^{1} \\ \phi^{3} & \theta^{2} & -\theta^{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ & \omega_{\mu\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi^{1} & \phi^{2} & \phi^{3} \\ -\phi^{1} & 0 & \theta^{3} & -\theta^{2} \\ -\phi^{2} & -\theta^{3} & 0 & \theta^{1} \\ -\phi^{3} & \theta^{2} & -\theta^{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

We can write an explicit relationship between ϕ^i, θ^i and ω^{ij} as follows

$$\phi^i=\omega^{0i}$$

which is easily verified just by looking at $\omega^{\mu\nu}$. As for θ^i , we have

$$\label{eq:theta} \boxed{\theta^i = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}\omega^{jk}}$$

where summation is implied over j, k. This we can check by hand

$$\begin{split} \theta^1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \big(\omega^{23} - \omega^{32} \big) & \theta^2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \big(\omega^{31} - \omega^{13} \big) & \theta^3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \big(\omega^{12} - \omega^{21} \big) \\ &= -\omega^{23} & = \omega^{31} & = -\omega^{12} \\ \theta^1 &= \theta^1 & \theta^2 &= \theta^2 & \theta^3 &= \theta^3 \end{split}$$

9.4

Under a Poincaré transformation, a function will transform as

$$f(x') = f(x) + a^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f(x) + \omega^{\mu}{}_{\nu}x^{\nu}\partial_{\mu}f(x)$$

Since $\omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}$, we can write this as

$$f(x') = \left[1 + a^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + g^{\mu\lambda}\omega_{\lambda\nu}x^{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\right]f(x)$$

$$= \left[1 + a^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \omega_{\lambda\nu}x^{\nu}\partial^{\lambda}\right]f(x)$$

$$= \left[1 + a^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\lambda\nu} - \omega_{\nu\lambda})x^{\nu}\partial^{\lambda}\right]f(x)$$

$$= \left[1 + a^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\lambda\nu}\left(x^{\nu}\partial^{\lambda} - x^{\lambda}\partial^{\nu}\right)\right]f(x)$$

$$f(x') = \left[1 + a^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}(x^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} - x^{\nu}\partial^{\mu})\right]f(x)$$

If we define the generators of the Poincaré group as $p_{\mu} = -i\partial_{\mu}$ and $M^{\mu\nu} = -i(x^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} - x^{\nu}\partial^{\mu})$, then we can write this as

$$f(x') = \left[1 - ia^{\mu}p_{\mu} + \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu}\right]f(x)$$

Since $M^{\mu\nu}$ generates Lorentz transformation, it can be related to the generators of rotations and boosts as

$$J^i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}M^{jk}, \quad K^i = M^{0i}$$

Putting these together with how $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ relations to rotations and boosts, we can write

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu} &= 2\omega_{0\mu}M^{0\mu} + 2\omega_{i\mu}M^{i\mu} \\ &= 2\omega_{0i}M^{0i} + 2\omega_{ij}M^{ij} \\ \omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu} &= -2\phi_iK^i + 2\omega_{ij}M^{ij} \end{split}$$

Putting together θ_i and J^i , we have

$$\theta_i J^i = \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{ijk} \varepsilon_{imn} \omega_{jk} M^{mn}$$

= $\frac{1}{4} (\delta^j_m \delta^k_n - \delta^j_n \delta^k_m) \omega_{jk} M^{mn}$
= $\frac{1}{2} \omega_{jk} (M^{jk} - M^{kj})$
 $\theta_i J^i = \omega_{jk} M^{jk}$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu} &= -2\phi_i K^i + 2\theta_i J^i \\ &-\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu} = -i(J^i\theta_i - K^i\phi_i) \\ \hline \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu}\right) = e^{-i(\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\theta}-\mathbf{K}\cdot\boldsymbol{\phi})} \end{split}$$